IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O. O. C. J.

COMPANY APPEAL NO.3 OF 2007
IN
MISC. APPLICATION NO.446 OF 2006
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO.96 OF 2006

Umang Hiralal Thakkar ..Appellant.
Vs.
Madhuri Y. Mafatlal & Ors. ..Respondents.

...

Mr. Jay Chinoy, Senior Advocate with Mr. Sanjay Jain i/b Dhruve Liladhar & Co. for the Appellant.

Mr. Anil D'Souza with Ms. Sudeshna Roy i/b Paras Kuhad & Associates for Respondent No.1.
Ms. Rupali Dixit i/b Maneksha & Sethna for Respondents 2 and 3.

...

CORAM: **DR. D.Y. CHANDRACHUD, J.**

3rd May, 2007.

P.C. :

The only challenge to the order of the Company Law Board is against the impleadment of the Appellant in his individual capacity. The Appellant is a Director of the Appellant in the companion appeal and there are allegations in the application for impleadment

specifically against the Appellant. In these circumstances, the order of impleadment does not warrant interference. However, it is needless to add that none of the observations contained in the present order shall come in the way of the disposal of the proceedings before the Company Law Board on merits.

All the rights and contentions of the parties are kept open. The Appeal is disposed of.